



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/445,133	03/13/2000	AHMET MURSIT ESKICIOGLU	RCA88674	9526	
7590 02/12/2004			EXAMINER		
JOSEPH S TRIPOLI THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC PO-BOX-5312			KLIMACH, PAULA W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543-5312		2135	2135	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	. /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.			1	ALG
## Examiner ## Art Unit ## Paula W Kilmach ## 2131 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address—related for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ## MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be sinely filled after 58 kg (MoNth's from the mailing date of this communication. - If the provision of the specified above, the maintenance shallowed in the provision of 43 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be sinely filled after 58 kg (MoNth's from the maining date of this communication. - If the provision of the specified above, the maintenance and the specified one of the seminance of this communication. - If the provision of the specified above, the maintenance and the specified one of the seminance of the communication. - If the provision is considered and the specified one application is the splication to be maintenance. - If the provision is considered and the specified one application is communication. - The specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Application of Claims - Application is specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Application of Claims - Application of Claims - Application is specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Claim(s) 19 is fare epicted. - Claim(s) 19 is fare allowed.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	• • •
Paula W Kilmach	A.M	09/445,133	ESKICIOGLU, AHMET MUF	RSIT
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address reind of repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be evidence under the providence of 30 CFR 1.13(q), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field after SEX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Extensions of time may be evidence under the providence of 30 CFR 1.13(q), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field after 65X (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. For hall the reply specified the communication of 30 CFR 1.13(q), in six and payment will appear the making date of this communication. For hall the set of extended period for reply will, by stainto, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any externed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(t)b). Intal true of the set of extended period for reply will, by stainto, eases the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any externed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(t)b). Intal true of the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12 (Six are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 12 (Six are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 12 (Six are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 12 (Six are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s) 12 (Six are pending the sex are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12 (Six are pending the sex are se	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Interestions of time may be available under the professions of 37 CFR 1.73(d), in no event, however, may a reply be timely field • If the period for reply is periodic above, the mostlines of 37 CFR 1.73(d), which the statutory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered timely. • If the period for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is about the control of the period of reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered timely. • If a period for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered timely. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered timely. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a periodic above, the mostlines is a statutory of the communication. • If a periodic for reply is periodic above, the mostlines is a periodic above, the mostlin				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be validable under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If this period reply specified below is less than filling (30) days, a fill be considered timely. If this period create present the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(e), in a capability of the provision of this provision of the provision		ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period_will_apply_and_will_expire SIX (6)-MON in by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.16 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to matter are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <code>Ex parte Quayle</code> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <code>1_10</code> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <code>1_10</code> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <code>1_10</code> is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>28 November 2003</u> .		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 126 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.1.1.2.6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subjected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	3) Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	S
4.)	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims			
5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4) Claim(s) 1.10 is/are pending in the app	plication.		
6 Claim(s) 110 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s)** Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Characteristics** **Action or ferences Cited (PTO-892) Molice of References Cited (PTO-892) Molice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Ittachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			-	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	Attachment(s)	4) 🗀 1-4	(PTO 442)	
' 				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	TO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Ir		

Art Unit: 2131

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed on 11/28/03 (Paper No. 8). Original application contained Claims 1-20. The amendment filed on 11/28/03 have been entered and made of record. Therefore, presently pending claims are 1-20.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because of following reasons.

Applicant argued Vacellete does not meet the limitations as stated in independent claims 1, 15, and 18. This is not found persuasive due to the new grounds of rejection shown below.

The examiner asserts that the prior art does teach or suggest the subject matter broadly recited in independent Claims 1, 15, and 18. Dependent Claims 2-14, 16-17, and 19 and 20 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reason set forth in this office action (Paper No. 7). Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-20 are respectfully maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2131

1. Claim 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagel et al (5,592,549) in view of Gammie et al (5,237,610).

In reference to claim 1, Nagel discloses a system for managing access to secure electronic information (abstract). The Nagel system suggests receiving in a device an electronic list of events, at least one event having an encrypted message associated therein. Receiving in said device, in response to user selection of said event said encrypted message (column 6 line 40 to column 7 line 3). The information is retrieved from a CD-ROM, reader that inherently gives an electronic list of information that is on the CD-ROM for the user to select the desired information. The list is associated with an encrypted message since the information on the CD-ROM is encrypted.

However Nagel does not expressly disclose decrypting the encrypted message to obtain a descrambling key.

Gammie discloses a system for descrambling encoded transmissions wherein, the program is scrambled with a key and then the key itself is twice encrypted. Therefore decrypts the message to obtain a descrambling key; receiving said selected event from the service provider 701 said selected event being scrambled using said descrambling key form preventing unauthorized access to said selected event (column 11 line 66 to column 12 line 19); descrambling said selected event using said descrambling key (column 12 lines 20-30).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the twice-descrambling method of Gammie in the system disclosed by Nagel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because keys distributed to an authorized decoder cannot be read out and transferred to other decoders.

Art Unit: 2131

2. Claims 15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagel et al (5,592,549) in view of Gammie et al (5,237,610) and Pinder et al (5,742,677).

In reference to claim 15 and 18, In reference to claim 1, Nagel discloses a system for managing access to secure electronic information (abstract). The Nagel system suggests receiving in a device an electronic list of events, at least one event having an encrypted message associated therein. Receiving in said device, in response to user selection of said event said encrypted message (column 6 line 40 to column 7 line 3). The information is retrieved from a CD-ROM, reader that inherently gives an electronic list of information that is on the CD-ROM for the user to select the desired information. The list is associated with an encrypted message since the information is encrypted.

However Nagel does not expressly disclose decrypting the encrypted message to obtain a descrambling key.

Gammie discloses a system for descrambling encoded transmissions wherein, the program is scrambled with a key and then the key itself is twice encrypted. Therefore decrypts the message to obtain a descrambling key; receiving said selected event from the service provider 701 said selected event being scrambled using said descrambling key form preventing unauthorized access to said selected event (column 11 line 66 to column 12 line 19); descrambling said selected event using said descrambling key (column 12 lines 20-30).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the twice-descrambling method of Gammie in the system disclosed by Nagel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because keys distributed to an authorized decoder cannot be read out and transferred to other decoders.

Art Unit: 2131

However Nagel and Gammie do not disclose the use of digital certificates in an electronic program guide.

Pinder discloses the use of the private key used for digital signatures (column 5 lines 33-

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the private key for a digital signature created using a private key as in Pinder in the system of of Nagel. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the digital signature operations provide authentication (Pinder column 5 lines 34-35).

4. Claims 2-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagel et al (5,592,549), Gammie et al (5,237,610), and Pinder et al (5,742,677) in view of Vancelette.

In reference to claim 2, the steps of decrypting said message, receiving said selected event, and descrambling said selected event are performed in a smart card coupled to the device (column 9 lines 26-33). The message being encrypted using a public key associated with said smart card and said step of decrypting uses a private key associated with and stored in said smart card, Vancelette suggests that this data is encrypted on the smart card since in the downloadable form the data is encrypted with the other data (column 6 lines 57-65).

In reference to claim 3, said message further comprises event information, said event information being decrypted using said private key (column 9 lines 54-67 in combination with column 6 lines 56-64).

Art Unit: 2131

In reference to claim 4, the event information is stored where the step is performed in the smart card (column 9 line 26-30). The information is downloaded to the terminals memory, the smart card has memory also and is situated at the terminal and is therefore available memory for the storage of the downloaded information.

In reference to claim 5, the smart card has a card body having a plurality of terminals arranged on a surface of said card body in accordance with one of ISO 7816 and PCMCIA card standards. It is inherent that the card body has terminals on its body for connection to the card reader for accessing the memory of the card.

In reference to claim 6, authenticating said list of events to verify the origin of said message. The events in the list are authenticated by the virtue of the list being encrypted by the service provider. The terminal then decrypts the packets with the corresponding key. This implies that only those with the key that corresponds the key of the service provider can decrypt the list and therefore the information comes from the service provider (column 9 lines 4-6).

In reference to claim 8, event information comprises channel identification data, event identity data, date and time stamp data, and billing data (column 2 lines 59-65).

In reference to claim 9, The method of Claim 3 further comprising the step of storing said event information, wherein said step of storing said event information is performed in said device (column 9 lines 27-30).

In reference to claim 13 and 14, said event information is used within said device to update said user's account information (column 2 lines 59-65).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the smart card as in Vancelette in the system of Nagel. One of ordinary

Art Unit: 2131

skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because smart cards are small and portable and have the processing power to perform encryption.

5.— In reference to claims 7, Pinder discloses the use of the private key used for digital signatures (column 5 lines 33-34).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the private key for a digital signature created using a private key as in Pinder in the system of Vancelette. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because the digital signature operations provide authentication (Pinder column 5 lines 34-35).

In reference to claim 10, digital signature, said second public key and said second private key are issued by an independent certificate authority and are associated with said list provider (Pinder column 10 lines 16-20).

In reference to claim11, said device is a digital television. The device suggested by Vancelette is a display device, 80, a digital television is a display device and is therefore the device suggested by Vancelette.

In reference to claim 12, said device is a set-top box (column 6 lines 43-45).

In reference to claims 16 and 19, the device is a set-top box (column 6 lines 43-45).

In reference to claims 17 and 20, the device is a digital television. The device suggested by Vancelette is a display device, 80, a digital television is a display device and is therefore the device suggested by Vancelette.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paula W Klimach whose telephone number is (703) 305-8421.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Thr 9:30 a.m to 5:30 p.m.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PWK Monday, February 09, 2004

> SUPERNISORY PATENT EXACULTA TECHNOLOGY GGIATA 2101

Page 8